

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/726,150	LUDWIG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Helen F. Pratt	1761	

All Participants:

(1) Helen F. Pratt.

(2) M. Borsos.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 7 June 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: No basis is seen for the limitation "and the first and second organoleptic modifying agents are not sweeteners". Attorney said this limitation could be cancelled from claims and would send an unofficial listing of the claims for further changes. See examiner's amendment. .